

**Some Physical Consequences of Abrupt Changes in the
Multipole Moments of a Gravitating Body**

C. Barrabès* and G. F. Bressange†

Physics Department, UFR Sciences,

Université de Tours, 37200 France;

Département d'Astrophysique Relativiste et Cosmologie,

Observatoire de Paris,

92190 Meudon, France

and

P. A. Hogan‡

Mathematical Physics Department,

University College Dublin,

Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland

PACS numbers: 04.30.+x, 04.20Jb

The Barrabès–Israel theory of light-like shells in General Relativity is used to show explicitly that in general a light-like shell is accompanied by an impulsive gravitational wave. The gravitational wave is identified by its Petrov Type N

* E-mail: barrabes@celfi.phys.univ-tours.fr

† E-mail: bressang@celfi.phys.univ-tours.fr

‡ E-mail: phogan@ollamh.ucd.ie

contribution to a Dirac delta-function term in the Weyl conformal curvature tensor (with the delta-function singular on the null hypersurface history of the wave and shell). An example is described in which an asymptotically flat static vacuum Weyl space-time experiences a sudden change across a null hypersurface in the multipole moments of its isolated axially symmetric source. A light-like shell and an impulsive gravitational wave are identified, both having the null hypersurface as history. The stress-energy in the shell is dominated (at large distance from the source) by the jump in the monopole moment (the mass) of the source with the jump in the quadrupole moment mainly responsible for the stress being anisotropic. The gravitational wave owes its existence principally to the jump in the quadrupole moment of the source confirming what would be expected.

1. Introduction

Very few exact solutions of Einstein's vacuum field equations exist describing gravitational waves from an isolated source having wave fronts homeomorphic to a 2-sphere (we will refer to such waves loosely as 'spherical waves'). The principal example is the Robinson–Trautman [1] family of solutions. These are very special however because if the wave fronts are sufficiently smooth (free of conical singularities) and the field (Riemann tensor) contains no 'wire' or 'directional' singularities then the solutions approach a Schwarzschild limit exponentially in time [2, 3]. A limiting case is Penrose's [4] spherical impulsive gravitational wave propagating through flat space-time and similar solutions [5]. These all have the property that the curvature tensor of the space-time containing the history of the wave involves a Dirac delta function which is singular on the null hypersurface history of the wave and the coefficient of the delta function is singular along a generator of this null hypersurface (a wire singularity). The object of the present paper is to present an example of a wire singularity-free spherical impulsive gravitational wave propagating through a vacuum. To construct this we will use the Barrabès–Israel (BI)[6] theory of light-like shells in General Relativity. This is an extension to the null case of the usual extrinsic curvature technique [7] for studying non-null shells of matter. The Ricci tensor of the space-time in general exhibits a delta function behavior singular on the null hypersurface history of the shell (the coefficient of the delta function is constructed from the surface stress-energy tensor of the

shell and this tensor is calculated using the BI approach).

We present in this paper two principal results: (1) If in general the Weyl tensor of the space-time containing the history of the light-like shell has a delta function singular on the history of the shell then we demonstrate explicitly, using the BI general theory, that the coefficient of this delta function splits into a matter part (due to anisotropic stresses in the shell) and a wave part describing an impulsive gravitational wave propagating in general independently of the shell. (2) Asymptotically flat solutions of the Weyl class of static axially symmetric vacuum gravitational fields are described by metric tensor components expressed as infinite series having coefficients involving the multipole moments of the isolated source [8]. To illustrate (1) we take a future directed null hypersurface which is asymptotically a future null-cone in the asymptotically flat Weyl space-time and assume a finite abrupt change takes place in these multipole moments across the null hypersurface. The surface stress-energy tensor concentrated on the null hypersurface is calculated at large distance along the null hypersurface from the history of the source and it is shown that the energy density of the shell, measured by a radially moving observer, is dominated by the jump in the monopole moment (the mass) of the source followed by terms proportional to the jumps in the dipole and quadrupole moments of the source. Jumps in higher multipole moments will contribute even less significantly and are not calculated here. There is an anisotropic surface stress dominated by the jump in the quadrupole moment. The delta function in the Weyl tensor has

a coefficient with matter part and wave part, mentioned in (1) above, both of which are dominated by the jump in the quadrupole moment. In the case of the matter part this is due to the anisotropic stress in the shell while for the wave part it is a manifestation of the well-known property of gravitational waves from isolated sources that the lowest radiated multipole is the quadrupole. The Newman–Penrose components of the matter and wave parts of the coefficient of the delta function in the Weyl tensor are calculated on a null tetrad asymptotically parallel transported along the future-directed null geodesic generators of the null hypersurface. These components are non-singular on any such generators and so *the Weyl tensor is free of wire singularities*. Since the null hypersurface chosen is asymptotically a future null-cone we can say that the impulsive gravitational wave accompanying the light-like shell is asymptotically spherical.

The paper is organised as follows: In section 2 the BI technique is described as it applies to light-like shells (which includes impulsive waves). The method given in BI is a unified treatment applicable to hypersurfaces which are non-null as well as null and to non-null hypersurfaces which may become null in a limiting case. The identification of the matter and wave part of the coefficient of the delta function in the Weyl tensor is then presented. In section 3 the application to the asymptotically flat Weyl solutions is initiated by introducing these solutions, describing the transformation of the line-element to a form based on a family of null hypersurfaces $u = \text{constant}$ (say) (this is just the Bondi [9] form of

the Weyl solutions; for completeness some of the original calculations by Bondi et al. [9] are summarised in the Appendix with comments relevant to the present application) and then describing how two such solutions with different multipole moments can be matched across one of the null hypersurfaces, $u = 0$ (say). The physical properties of the boundary $u = 0$ are worked out in section 4. Its interpretation as the history of both a light-like shell and an impulsive gravitational wave is verified asymptotically using the BI technique and the results derived in section 2. The paper ends with a discussion in section 5 commenting in particular on the absence of conical singularities on the wave front/shell and on the asymptotic behavior (‘peeling’ behavior) of the amplitude of the delta function in both the matter and wave parts of the Weyl tensor.

2. Barrabès–Israel Technique: The Null Case

Consider space-time M to be subdivided into two halves M^+ and M^- each with boundary a null hypersurface Σ . Let $\{x_+^\mu\}$, with Greek indices taking values 1, 2, 3, 4, be a local coordinate system in M^+ in terms of which the metric tensor components are $g_{\alpha\beta}^+$ and let $\{x_-^\mu\}$ be a local coordinate system in M^- in terms of which the metric tensor components are $g_{\alpha\beta}^-$. Let $\{\xi^a\}$, with Latin indices taking values 1, 2, 3, be local intrinsic coordinates on Σ and the parametric equations of Σ have the form $x_\pm^\mu = f_\pm^\mu(\xi^a)$ say. We thus have a basis of tangent vectors $e_a = \partial/\partial\xi^a$ to Σ and we assume that M^+ and M^- are re-attached on Σ in such a way that the induced metrics on Σ from M^+ and

M^- match:

$$g_{ab} := g_{\alpha\beta} e_a^\alpha e_b^\beta|_+ = g_{\alpha\beta} e_a^\alpha e_b^\beta|_- , \quad (2.1)$$

where the colon followed by an equality sign denotes a definition, $e_a^\alpha|_\pm = \partial x_\pm^\alpha / \partial \xi^a$ are the components of the tangent vectors e_a to Σ evaluated on the M^+ side or M^- side respectively. The symbol $|_\pm$ shall mean “evaluated on the plus or minus side of Σ ”. The manifold resulting from this re-attachment of M^+ and M^- on Σ will be denoted by $M^+ \cup M^-$. Let n be normal to Σ with components $n_\pm^\mu$ viewed on the plus or minus sides. Thus

$$n^\mu n_\mu|_\pm = 0 , \quad \text{and} \quad n_\mu e_a^\mu|_\pm = 0 . \quad (2.2)$$

Next choose a ‘transversal’ N on Σ and require that its projection in the plus or minus sides of Σ be the same (continuous) so that

$$N_\mu e_a^\mu|_+ = N_\mu e_a^\mu|_- , \quad (2.3)$$

and, in addition, require

$$N_\mu N^\mu|_+ = N_\mu N^\mu|_- . \quad (2.4)$$

Finally define

$$\eta^{-1} := N_\mu n^\mu . \quad (2.5)$$

Now the ‘transverse extrinsic curvature’ of Σ (a generalisation of the usual extrinsic curvature [7] to the null case) is defined by

$$\mathcal{K}_{ab}^\pm := -N_\mu e_{a;\nu}^\mu e_b^\nu|_\pm = \mathcal{K}_{ba}^\pm , \quad (2.6)$$

with the semi-colon denoting covariant differentiation. The jump in these components across Σ is denoted by

$$\frac{1}{2}\gamma_{ab} := [\mathcal{K}_{ab}] := \mathcal{K}_{ab}^+ - \mathcal{K}_{ab}^- , \quad (2.7)$$

and these quantities are independent of the choice of transversal (see [6], section II). We now extend γ_{ab} to a four-tensor by padding-out with zeros (the only condition required on the extension $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$ being $\gamma_{\mu\nu}e_a^\mu e_b^\nu = \gamma_{ab}$).

A calculation now of the Einstein tensor of the space-time $M^+ \cup M^-$ results in general in an energy-momentum-stress tensor which, in addition to parts $+T^{\mu\nu}$ and $-T^{\mu\nu}$ if M^+ and M^- are non-vacuum, has a part concentrated on Σ of the form [6]

$$T^{\mu\nu} = S^{\mu\nu} \delta(u) , \quad (2.8)$$

where the equation of Σ is taken to be $u(x^\mu) = 0$, the normal to Σ has the form $n_\mu = u_{,\mu}$, with the comma denoting partial differentiation, $\delta(u)$ is the Dirac delta function and $S^{\mu\nu}$ is given by [6]

$$16\pi \eta^{-1} S^{\mu\nu} = 2\gamma^{(\mu} n^{\nu)} - \gamma n^\mu n^\nu - \gamma^\dagger g^{\mu\nu} . \quad (2.9)$$

Here round brackets denote symmetrisation and

$$\gamma^\mu := \gamma^{\mu\nu} , \quad \gamma^\dagger := \gamma^\mu n_\mu , \quad \gamma := g^{\mu\nu} \gamma_{\mu\nu} , \quad (2.10)$$

with the calculation of these quantities carried out on either side of Σ . We drop the plus or minus designation in such instances. The surface stress-energy tensor $S^{\mu\nu}$ in (2.9) has the property that

$$S^{\mu\nu} n_\nu = 0 , \quad (2.11)$$

and thus it can be expressed on the tangent basis e_a as

$$S^{\mu\nu} = S^{ab} e_a^\mu e_b^\nu , \quad (2.12)$$

with (see [6])

$$16\pi \eta^{-1} S^{ab} = 2g_*^{c(a} l^{b)} (\gamma_{cd} l^d) - g_*^{ab} (\gamma_{cd} l^c l^d) - l^a l^b (g_*^{cd} \gamma_{cd}) . \quad (2.13)$$

The three-vector l^a is defined via the expansion

$$n^\mu = l^a e_a^\mu , \quad (2.14)$$

remembering that since Σ is null the normal to Σ is also tangent to Σ . From (2.14) and the orthogonality of n^μ , e_a^μ it follows that $g_{ab} l^b = 0$. We note that since Σ is null the induced metric with components g_{ab} is degenerate or singular.

In (2.13) g_*^{ab} is a type of generalised inverse of g_{ab} defined by [6]

$$g_*^{ac} g_{bc} = \delta_b^a - \eta l^a N_b , \quad (2.15)$$

with $N_b := N_\mu e_b^\mu$ which is continuous across Σ by (2.3). The expression (2.15) determines g_*^{ac} uniquely up to a multiple of $l^a l^c$. It is clear from (2.13) that if $\gamma_{ab} l^b = 0$ then the surface stress-energy tensor is ‘isotropic’ with

$$16\pi \eta^{-1} S^{ab} = - (g_*^{cd} \gamma_{cd}) l^a l^b . \quad (2.16)$$

This is equivalent, by (2.12) and (2.14), to $S^{\mu\nu}$ being proportional to $n^\mu n^\nu$.

The Weyl conformal curvature tensor of the space-time $M^+ \cup M^-$ has in general a part concentrated on Σ and given by [6]

$$C^{\kappa\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} = \left\{ 2\eta n^{[\kappa} \gamma^{\lambda]}_{[\mu} n_{\nu]} - 16\pi \delta_{[\mu}^{[\kappa} S_{\nu]}^{\lambda]} + \frac{8\pi}{3} S_\alpha^\alpha \delta_{\mu\nu}^{\kappa\lambda} \right\} \delta(u) . \quad (2.17)$$

Here the square brackets denote skew-symmetrisation and $\delta_{\mu\nu}^{\kappa\lambda}$ is the usual determinant of Kronecker deltas. It has been pointed out in [6] that there is a part of the first term in the coefficient of the delta function in (2.17) that is constructed from a part of $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$ which does not contribute to the surface stress-energy tensor. This part of (2.17) therefore is decoupled from the matter part. It describes an impulsive gravitational wave propagating with the shell and having $u = 0$ as the history of its wave-front. To display this decomposition of (2.17) it is perhaps simplest to look first at the intrinsic form (2.13) for the stress-energy tensor. It is clear from (2.13) that a part of γ_{ab} , which we will denote by $\hat{\gamma}_{ab}$, does not contribute to the stress-energy tensor. This $\hat{\gamma}_{ab}$ satisfies

$$\hat{\gamma}_{ab} l^b = 0 \ , \quad g_*^{ab} \hat{\gamma}_{ab} = 0 \ . \quad (2.18)$$

This means that $\hat{\gamma}_{ab}$ has two independent components (a fact which is related to the two degrees of freedom of polarisation in general present in the impulsive gravitational wave determined below by $\hat{\gamma}_{ab}$). The decomposition of (2.17) into wave and matter parts is best described by giving the components of these parts on the oblique basis $\{e_a^\mu, N^\mu\}$. Using (2.17) and hiving off the part of γ_{ab} described above we find we can write

$$C_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} = (W_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} + M_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu}) \delta(u) \ . \quad (2.19)$$

The components $W_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} e_a^\kappa e_b^\lambda e_c^\mu e_d^\nu$ and $W_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} e_a^\kappa e_b^\lambda e_c^\mu N^\nu$ of $W_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu}$ vanish identically while

$$W_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} e_a^\kappa N^\lambda e_b^\mu N^\nu = -\frac{1}{2} \eta^{-1} \hat{\gamma}_{ab} \ , \quad (2.20)$$

with

$$\hat{\gamma}_{ab} = \gamma_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} g_*^{cd} \gamma_{cd} g_{ab} - 2\eta l^d \gamma_{d(a} N_{b)} + \eta^2 \gamma_{cd} l^c l^d N_a N_b . \quad (2.21)$$

One easily checks that this $\hat{\gamma}_{ab}$ satisfies (2.18). Multiplying the components of $W_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu}$ on the oblique basis by l^a and using (2.14) and the first of (2.18) gives

$$W_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} n^\kappa = 0 , \quad (2.22)$$

so that this part of (2.19) is Type N in the Petrov classification with n^μ as four-fold degenerate principal null direction. Thus this part of the Weyl tensor in (2.19) describes an impulsive gravitational wave with propagation direction n^μ in space-time and with $u = 0$ as the history of its wave-front. Now the components of the matter part of (2.19) on the oblique basis are

$$M_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} e_a^\kappa e_b^\lambda e_c^\mu e_d^\nu = 8\pi(g_{a[d} S_{c]b} - g_{b[d} S_{c]a}) + \frac{16\pi}{3} S_\alpha^\alpha g_{a[c} g_{d]b} , \quad (2.23a)$$

$$M_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} e_a^\kappa e_b^\lambda e_c^\mu N^\nu = -8\pi g_{c[a} e_{b]}^\alpha S_{\alpha\beta} N^\beta + \frac{16\pi}{3} S_\alpha^\alpha g_{c[a} N_{b]} , \quad (2.23b)$$

$$M_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} e_a^\kappa N^\lambda e_c^\mu N^\nu = -8\pi S_{\alpha\beta} e_{(a}^\alpha N_{c)} N^\beta + \frac{4\pi}{3} S_\alpha^\alpha N_a N_c . \quad (2.23c)$$

Here $S_{ab} := S_{\mu\nu} e_a^\mu e_b^\nu$ which, of course, is not simply related to S^{ab} in (2.13) by lowering indices because g_{ab} is degenerate. We note that if $S^{\mu\nu}$ is isotropic in the sense described in (2.16), or in the sentence following (2.16), then $S_{ab} = 0$ and in addition we see from (2.23) that $M_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} = 0$ in this case. Finally we note that from the results of section III of [6] one can deduce that the matter part $M_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu}$ is in general Petrov Type II and may specialise to Type III.

3. Asymptotically Flat Weyl Space–Times

To illustrate the theory outlined in section 2 we consider the asymptotically flat Weyl static axially symmetric solutions of Einstein’s vacuum field equations [8]. The line–element of these space–times has the form

$$ds^2 = -R^2 e^{-2U} (e^{2k} d\Theta^2 + \sin^2 \Theta d\phi^2) - e^{2k-2U} dR^2 + e^{2U} dt^2 , \quad (3.1)$$

where U, k are functions of the coordinates Θ, R given by the infinite series

$$U = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{R^{n+1}} P_n , \quad (3.2a)$$

$$k = - \sum_{l,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_l a_m (l+1)(m+1)}{l+m+2} \left(\frac{P_l P_m - P_{l+1} P_{m+1}}{R^{l+m+2}} \right) , \quad (3.2b)$$

where a_n ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) are constants and $P_n = P_n(\cos \Theta)$ is the Legendre polynomial of degree n in the variable $\cos \Theta$. The first few terms in the series (3.2a) may be written

$$U = -\frac{m}{R} - \frac{D \cos \Theta}{R^2} - \left(Q + \frac{1}{3} m^3 \right) \frac{P_2(\cos \Theta)}{R^3} + \dots , \quad (3.3)$$

where, following Bondi et al. [9], we have written the constants a_0, a_1, a_2 in a form so that we can identify m as the mass of the isolated source, D as the dipole moment of the source and Q as its quadrupole moment. The moments D and Q appear in (3.3) in such a way that if $D = Q = 0$ then (3.3) represents the leading terms in the $1/R$ –expansion of the Schwarzschild expression [8] for U . Corresponding to (3.3) we have for (3.2b)

$$k = -\frac{m^2}{2R^2} \sin^2 \Theta - \frac{2mD}{R^3} \cos \Theta \sin^2 \Theta + \dots . \quad (3.4)$$

The line-element (3.1) with U and k in the forms (3.3) and (3.4) can be transformed to the Bondi form (the procedure for doing this, due to Bondi et al. [9], is outlined in the Appendix)

$$ds^2 = -r^2 \{ f^{-1} d\theta^2 + f \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 \} + 2g du dr + 2h du d\theta + c du^2 , \quad (3.5)$$

with

$$f = 1 - \frac{Q}{r^3} \sin^2 \theta + O(r^{-4}) , \quad (3.6a)$$

$$g = 1 + O(r^{-4}) , \quad (3.6b)$$

$$h = \frac{2D}{r} \sin \theta + \frac{3Q}{r^2} \sin \theta \cos \theta + O(r^{-3}) , \quad (3.6c)$$

$$c = 1 - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{2D}{r^2} \cos \theta - \frac{Q}{r^3} (3 \cos^2 \theta - 1) + O(r^{-4}) . \quad (3.6d)$$

For our present purpose it is useful to have solutions (3.1) expressed in a coordinate system based on a family of null hypersurfaces. In the form (3.5) the hypersurfaces $u = \text{constant}$ are *exactly* null (i.e. for all r and not just for large r ; this is clearly pointed out in the Appendix). Neglecting $O(r^{-4})$ -terms $u = \text{constant}$ are generated by the geodesic integral curves of the future-pointing null vector field $\partial/\partial r$ and r is an affine parameter along them. These curves have expansion ρ and shear σ given by

$$\rho = \frac{1}{r} + O(r^{-5}) , \quad (3.7a)$$

$$\sigma = \frac{3Q}{2r^4} \sin^2 \theta + O(r^{-5}) , \quad (3.7b)$$

demonstrating that for large values of r (specifically, neglecting $O(r^{-4})$ -terms) the null hypersurfaces $u = \text{constant}$ in the space-time with line-element (3.5) are future null-cones.

To illustrate the theory described in section 2 we subdivide the space-time M with line-element (3.5) into two halves M^- and M^+ having $u = 0$ (say) as common boundary. To the past of $u = 0$, corresponding to $u < 0$, the space-time M^- is given by (3.5) and (3.6) with parameters $\{m_-, D_-, Q_-, \dots\}$ and coordinates $x_-^\mu = (\theta_-, \phi_-, r_-, u)$, while to the future of $u = 0$, corresponding to $u > 0$, the space-time M^+ is given by (3.5) and (3.6) with parameters $\{m_+, D_+, Q_+, \dots\}$ and coordinates $x_+^\mu = (\theta_+, \phi_+, r_+, u)$. We have taken $u_+ = u_- = u$ here for convenience. To save on subscripts we shall henceforth drop the minus subscript on x_-^μ and on the parameters $\{m_-, D_-, Q_-, \dots\}$ and also use θ, ϕ, r as intrinsic coordinates on $u = 0$ (i.e. in the notation of section 2 we are putting $\xi^a = (\theta, \phi, r)$). The line-element on $u = 0$ induced from M^+ is

$$dl_+^2 = -r_+^2 \{f_+^{-1} d\theta_+^2 + f_+ \sin^2 \theta_+ d\phi_+^2\} , \quad (3.8)$$

with

$$f_+ = 1 - \frac{Q_+}{r_+^3} \sin^2 \theta_+ + O(r_+^{-4}) , \quad (3.9)$$

while the induced line-element on $u = 0$ from M^- is

$$dl^2 = -r^2 \{f^{-1} d\theta^2 + f \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2\} , \quad (3.10)$$

with

$$f = 1 - \frac{Q}{r^3} \sin^2 \theta + O(r^{-4}) . \quad (3.11)$$

We now re-attach M^- and M^+ on $u = 0$ requiring (3.8) and (3.10) to be the same line-element (see (2.1)) and this requirement gives the ‘matching conditions’

$$\theta_+ = \theta + \frac{[Q]}{r^3} \sin \theta \cos \theta + O(r^{-4}) , \quad (3.12a)$$

$$\phi_+ = \phi , \quad (3.12b)$$

$$r_+ = r + \frac{[Q]}{2r^2} (1 - 3 \cos^2 \theta) + O(r^{-3}) , \quad (3.12c)$$

with $[Q] := Q_+ - Q_-$. From the perspective of the space-time $M^- \cup M^+$ we have an asymptotically flat Weyl solution M^- undergoing an abrupt finite jump in its multipole moments across a null hypersurface $u = 0$ resulting in the Weyl solution M^+ . We now apply the BI theory, with special reference to the results of section 2, to study the physical properties of the null hypersurface $u = 0$.

4. Light-Like Shell and Gravitational Wave

To apply the BI theory as outlined in section 2 we first calculate the tangent basis vectors $e_a = \partial/\partial\xi^a$ on the plus and minus sides of $\Sigma : u = 0$. With x_+^μ given in terms of $x_-^\mu = x^\mu$ by (3.12) and with $\xi^a = (\theta, \phi, r)$ we find that

$$e_a^\mu|_- = \delta_a^\mu , \quad (4.1)$$

while

$$e_1^\mu|_+ = \left[1 + \frac{[Q]}{r^3} \cos 2\theta + O(r^{-4}) , 0 , \frac{3[Q]}{2r^2} \sin 2\theta + O(r^{-3}) , 0 \right] , \quad (4.2a)$$

$$e_2^\mu|_+ = (0, 1, 0, 0) , \quad (4.2b)$$

$$e_3^\mu|_+ = \left[\frac{3[Q]}{2r^2} \sin 2\theta + O(r^{-3}) , 0 , 1 - \frac{[Q]}{r^3} (1 - 3 \cos^2 \theta) + O(r^{-4}) , 0 \right] \quad (4.2c)$$

The normal to $u = 0$ is

$$n_\mu dx^\mu|_\pm = du . \quad (4.3)$$

As transversal on the minus side we can take

$$^{-}N_{\mu} = \left[0, 0, 1, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{m}{r} - \frac{D}{r^2} \cos \theta - \frac{Q}{2r^3} (3 \cos^2 \theta - 1) + O(r^{-4}) \right] , \quad (4.4)$$

and thus when viewed on the plus side we find, after insisting on (2.3) and (2.4)

being satisfied, that the components of the transversal are $^{+}N_{\mu}$ with

$$^{+}N_1 = \frac{3[Q]}{2r^2} \sin 2\theta + O(r^{-3}) , \quad (4.5a)$$

$$^{+}N_2 = 0 , \quad (4.5b)$$

$$^{+}N_3 = 1 + \frac{[Q]}{r^3} (1 - 3 \cos^2 \theta) + O(r^{-4}) , \quad (4.5c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} ^{+}N_4 &= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{m_+}{r} - \frac{D_+}{r^2} \cos \theta + \frac{(2Q_+ - Q)}{2r^3} (1 - 3 \cos^2 \theta) \\ &\quad + O(r^{-4}) . \end{aligned} \quad (4.5d)$$

Now η given by (2.5) has the form

$$\eta = 1 + O(r^{-3}) . \quad (4.6)$$

The transverse extrinsic curvature on the plus and minus sides of $u = 0$ is

calculated now from (2.6). On the minus side we find

$$\mathcal{K}_{11}^{-} = \frac{r}{2} - m - \frac{3D}{r} \cos \theta + \frac{Q}{4r^2} (13 - 29 \cos^2 \theta) + O(r^{-3}) , \quad (4.7a)$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{12}^{-} = 0 , \quad (4.7b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{22}^{-} &= \frac{r}{2} \sin^2 \theta - m \sin^2 \theta - \frac{3D}{r} \cos \theta \sin^2 \theta \\ &\quad + \frac{Q}{4r^2} (3 - 19 \cos^2 \theta) \sin^2 \theta + O(r^{-3}) , \end{aligned} \quad (4.7c)$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{13}^{-} = \frac{3D}{r^2} \sin \theta + \frac{6Q}{r^3} \sin \theta \cos \theta + O(r^{-4}) , \quad (4.7d)$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{23}^{-} = 0 , \quad (4.7e)$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{33}^{-} = O(r^{-5}) . \quad (4.7f)$$

On the plus side we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}_{11}^+ &= \frac{r}{2} - m_+ - \frac{3D_+}{r} \cos \theta + \frac{Q_+}{4r^2} (13 - 29 \cos^2 \theta) \\ &\quad + \frac{[Q]}{4r^2} (11 - 25 \cos^2 \theta) + O(r^{-3}) ,\end{aligned}\tag{4.8a}$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{12}^+ = 0 ,\tag{4.8b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}_{22}^+ &= \frac{r}{2} \sin^2 \theta - m_+ \sin^2 \theta - \frac{3D_+}{r} \cos \theta \sin^2 \theta \\ &\quad + \frac{Q_+}{4r^2} (3 - 19 \cos^2 \theta) \sin^2 \theta + \frac{[Q]}{4r^2} (3 + 7 \cos^2 \theta) \sin^2 \theta + O(r^{-3})\end{aligned}\tag{4.8c}$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{13}^+ = \frac{3[Q]}{4r} \sin 2\theta + O(r^{-2}) ,\tag{4.8d}$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{23}^+ = 0 ,\tag{4.8e}$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{33}^+ = O(r^{-3}) .\tag{4.8f}$$

From (4.7) and (4.8) the jump γ_{ab} in the transverse extrinsic curvature defined by (2.7) has the following components:

$$\gamma_{11} = -2[m] - \frac{6[D]}{r} \cos \theta + \frac{[Q]}{r^2} (12 - 27 \cos^2 \theta) + O(r^{-3}) ,\tag{4.9a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{22} &= -2[m] \sin^2 \theta - \frac{6[D]}{r} \cos \theta \sin^2 \theta \\ &\quad + \frac{3[Q]}{r^2} (1 - 2 \cos^2 \theta) \sin^2 \theta + O(r^{-3}) ,\end{aligned}\tag{4.9b}$$

$$\gamma_{12} = 0 , \quad \gamma_{23} = 0 ,\tag{4.9c}$$

$$\gamma_{13} = \frac{3[Q]}{r} \sin \theta \cos \theta + O(r^{-2}) ,\tag{4.9d}$$

$$\gamma_{33} = (r^{-3}) ,\tag{4.9e}$$

where, inkeeping with earlier notation, we have put $[m] := m_+ - m$ and $[D] := D_+ - D$. Finally $\hat{\gamma}_{ab}$ in (2.21) turns out to have all but two components vanishing identically. These two components are

$$\hat{\gamma}_{11} = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_{11} - \csc^2 \theta \gamma_{22}) + O(r^{-3}) ,\tag{4.10a}$$

$$\hat{\gamma}_{22} = -\hat{\gamma}_{11} \sin^2 \theta + O(r^{-3}) . \quad (4.10b)$$

Now the leading terms in the surface stress–energy tensor $S^{\mu\nu}$ are calculated from (2.9) or (2.13). We find $S^{\mu 4} = S^{12} = S^{23} = 0$ while

$$S^{11} = O(r^{-5}) , \quad S^{22} = O(r^{-5}) , \quad (4.11a)$$

$$16\pi S^{13} = -\frac{3[Q]}{r^3} \sin \theta \cos \theta + O(r^{-4}) , \quad (4.11b)$$

$$16\pi S^{33} = -\frac{4[m]}{r^2} - \frac{12[D]}{r^3} \cos \theta + \frac{3[Q]}{r^4} (5 - 11 \cos^2 \theta) + O(r^{-5}) \quad (4.11c)$$

Thus the stress in the light–like shell with history $u = 0$ is anisotropic due primarily (for large r) to the jump in the quadrupole moment $[Q]$ (on account of (4.11b)). The surface energy density of the shell measured by a radially moving observer (see [6]) is, by (4.11c), a positive multiple of

$$\sigma := -\frac{1}{4\pi r^2} \left\{ [m] + \frac{3[D]}{r} \cos \theta - \frac{3[Q]}{4r^2} (5 - 11 \cos^2 \theta) + O(r^{-3}) \right\} . \quad (4.12)$$

This is dominated by the jump in the monopole moment (the mass of the source). It would be natural to assume that $[m] < 0$ so that the source suffers a mass–loss.

It is convenient to define, in view of the line–element (3.5), a null tetrad

$$M^\mu = \left(-\frac{1}{r\sqrt{2}} f^{1/2}, -\frac{i}{r\sqrt{2} \sin \theta} f^{-1/2}, 0, 0 \right) , \quad (4.13a)$$

$$\bar{M}^\mu = \left(-\frac{1}{r\sqrt{2}} f^{1/2}, \frac{i}{r\sqrt{2} \sin \theta} f^{-1/2}, 0, 0 \right) , \quad (4.13b)$$

$$n^\mu = (0, 0, 1 + O(r^{-4}), 0) , \quad (4.13c)$$

$$N^\mu = \left(O(r^{-3}), 0, -\frac{c}{2}, 1 \right) , \quad (4.13d)$$

with f, c given by (3.6a, d) respectively. This tetrad is asymptotically parallel transported along the integral curves of $\partial/\partial r$. On this tetrad the Newman–Penrose components of the matter part of the delta function (2.19) in the Weyl tensor (which we denote by ${}^M\Psi_A$ with $A = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$) are given, using (2.23), by

$${}^M\Psi_0 = 0 \ , \quad {}^M\Psi_1 = 0 \ , \quad {}^M\Psi_2 = O(r^{-3}) \ , \quad (4.14a)$$

$${}^M\Psi_3 = \frac{9[Q]}{4\sqrt{2}r^2} \sin\theta \cos\theta + O(r^{-3}) \ , \quad (4.14b)$$

$${}^M\Psi_4 = O(r^{-5}) \ . \quad (4.14c)$$

This is thus predominantly Petrov Type III (with n^μ as generate principal null direction) due to the anisotropy in the stress (4.11b) (which in turn is due to $[Q] \neq 0$). The leading term in (4.14b) has clearly no singularity for $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ (and thus no wire singularity). All Newman–Penrose components on the null tetrad (4.13) of the wave part of the delta function (2.19) in the Weyl tensor (which we denote by ${}^W\Psi_A$) vanish with the exception, calculated from (2.20) and (4.13), of

$${}^W\Psi_4 = -\frac{3[Q]}{4r^4} (3 - 7 \cos^2\theta) + O(r^{-5}) \ . \quad (4.15)$$

This impulsive gravitational wave clearly owes its existence primarily to the jump in the quadrupole moment of the source across $u = 0$ and also is manifestly free of wire singularities.

5. Discussion

The degenerate metric induced on $u = 0$, the history of an outgoing light-like shell and of an impulsive gravitational wave (as has been verified asymptotically using the BI technique in section 4), is given asymptotically by (3.8) and (3.10). The line-element (3.10) can be written, putting $\cos \theta = x$, as

$$dl^2 = -r^2 \{G^{-1}dx^2 + G d\phi^2\} , \quad (5.1)$$

with

$$G = (1 - x^2) \left\{ 1 - \frac{Q(1 - x^2)}{r^3} + O(r^{-4}) \right\} , \quad (5.2)$$

which, for each r , is a standard form for the line-element on a 2-surface of revolution embedded in three dimensional Euclidean space (see, for example [10]) with $-1 \leq x \leq +1$ and $0 \leq \phi < 2\pi$. The Gaussian curvature is K/r^2 with

$$K = -\frac{1}{2}G'' = 1 + \frac{4Q}{r^3} P_2(x) + O(r^{-4}) . \quad (5.3)$$

Here the prime denotes differentiation with respect to x . Neglecting $O(r^{-4})$ -terms, we see that $G'(+1) + G'(-1) = 0$ and this together with ϕ ranging from 0 to 2π means (see [10]) that there are no conical singularities at the north or south poles of the 2-surface. In fact, by the Gauss-Bonnet theorem it is clear from the form of the line-element (5.1) and K in (5.3) that neglecting $O(r^{-4})$ -terms the 2-surface is topologically spherical. Hence the light-like shell and the impulsive gravitational wave can be considered asymptotically spherical in this sense.

Finally with ${}^M\Psi_3 = O(r^{-2})$ and ${}^W\Psi_4 = O(r^{-4})$ we see an unfamiliar Peeling behavior. This is due to (a) the conventional Peeling behavior occurring asymptotically in the field of an isolated source *with history confined to a time-like world-tube* of compact cross-section whereas the source of ${}^M\Psi_A$ and ${}^W\Psi_A$ is a light-shell and a wave with the null hypersurface $u = 0$ as history in space-time and (b) since in our case the radiation part of the field is in direct competition with the matter part it is no surprise that, in terms of r^{-1} , the amplitude of the matter part dominates that of the radiation part.

References

- [1] I. Robinson and A. Trautman, *Proc. R. Soc. London A***265**, 463 (1962).
- [2] B. Lukacs, Z. Perjès, J. Porter and A. Sebestyen, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **16**, 691 (1984).
- [3] I. Robinson, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **6**, 1863 (1989).
- [4] R. Penrose, in *General Relativity* (papers in honour of J. L. Synge), edited by L. O' Raifeartaigh (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1972), p.101.
- [5] G. A. Alekseev and J. B. Griffiths, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **13**, L13 (1996).
- [6] C. Barrabès and W. Israel, *Phys. Rev. D***43**, 1129 (1991).
- [7] W. Israel, *Nuovo Cimento B***44**, 1 (1966).

- [8] D. Kramer, H. Stephani, E. Herlt and M. A. H. MacCallum, *Exact Solutions of Einstein's Field Equations* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1980), p.201.
- [9] H. Bondi, M. G. J. van der Burgh and A. W. K. Metzner, *Proc. R. Soc. London A***269**, 21 (1962).
- [10] I. Robinson and J. R. Robinson in *General Relativity* (papers in honour of J. L. Synge), edited by L. O' Raifeartaigh (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1972), p.151.

Appendix

Transformation of Weyl Solution to Bondi Form

To make the present paper as self contained as possible we briefly outline and discuss here the transformation of the Weyl solution given by (3.1, 2) to the Bondi [9] form (3.5, 6). This transformation is given in Appendix 4 of [9]. We wish to emphasise aspects of the procedure which are particularly relevant to the topic under consideration in the present paper.

Starting with (3.1) the coordinate transformation

$$t = u + F(R, \theta) \ , \tag{1}$$

$$\Theta = \Theta(R, \theta) \ , \tag{2}$$

is made with the functions F, Θ chosen so that no $dr d\theta$ or dr^2 terms appear in the line-element. This will be achieved provided F, Θ satisfy the partial differential equations

$$e^{2U} F_R F_\theta = R^2 e^{2k-2U} \Theta_R \Theta_\theta , \quad (3)$$

$$e^{2U} F_R^2 = e^{2k-2U} (1 + R^2 \Theta_R^2) , \quad (4)$$

with the subscripts on F, Θ indicating partial derivatives with respect to R, θ as appropriate. At this point the line-element reads

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = & \left(-R^2 e^{2k-2U} \Theta_\theta^2 + e^{2U} F_\theta^2 \right) d\theta^2 - R^2 e^{-2U} \sin^2 \Theta d\phi^2 \\ & + 2e^{2U} F_R du dR + 2e^{2U} F_\theta du d\theta + e^{2U} du^2 . \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

We emphasise now that the hypersurfaces $u = \text{constant}$ are *null*. Using $F_{R\theta} = F_{\theta R}$ and $\Theta_{R\theta} = \Theta_{\theta R}$ in (3), (4) we can, following [9], eliminate F from (3), (4) and arrive at

$$\Theta_\theta \left[\frac{R^4 e^{2k-4U} \Theta_R^2}{1 + R^2 \Theta_R^2} \right]_R = R^2 (e^{2k-4U})_\theta \Theta_R . \quad (6)$$

This equation is now solved approximately for large values of R by (see [9])

$$\Theta = \theta + \frac{p'}{4R^2} + \frac{1}{R^3} \left(\frac{1}{12} q' - \frac{1}{2} m p' \right) + \dots , \quad (7)$$

with

$$p = 4D \cos \theta + m^2 (7 + \cos^2 \theta) , \quad (8)$$

$$q = 2Q(3 \cos^2 \theta - 1) + 4m D \cos \theta (3 + \cos^2 \theta) + 6m^3 (1 + \cos^2 \theta) , \quad (9)$$

and the primes in (7) on p, q indicating derivatives with respect to θ . Now F is obtained from (3), (4) as

$$F = R + 2m \log R + \frac{1}{R}(2m^2 - \frac{1}{2}p) + \frac{1}{R^2}(-2m^3 + \frac{1}{2}m p - \frac{1}{4}q) + \dots \quad (10)$$

Finally we make the transformation $R = R(r, \theta)$ given by

$$R^2 e^{-2U} \sin^2 \Theta \left(R^2 e^{2k-2U} \Theta_\theta^2 - e^{2U} F_\theta^2 \right) = r^4 \sin^2 \theta . \quad (11)$$

This leads, for large values of r , to

$$R = r - m - \frac{m^2}{2r} \sin^2 \theta - \frac{m}{2r^2} (2D \cos \theta + m^2) \sin^2 \theta + \dots \quad (12)$$

Putting this in F given by (10) and Θ given by (7) we construct the transformation (1), (2) for large r leading from (3.1,2) to (3.5,6). We emphasise that although the differential equations (3), (4) for F, Θ and the algebraic equation (11) for R have been solved only for large values of r , the null hypersurfaces $u = \text{constant}$ are exactly null (for all values of r).